August 13, 2004 Case No: 3000/22 Serial No: 10/664,806 Filed: September 17, 2003

Page 3 of 3

- REMARKS -

In the Office Action mailed May 14, 2004, the Examiner noted that the application claimed priority to itself. A substitute declaration will be submitted shortly.

The repetition in the specification has been corrected.

Miller et al. '400, the channel 56 is not concave

Independent claims 1, 12 and 23 were rejected (either alone or in combination) over U.S. Patent No. 6,513,856 to Swanson et al., or over U.S. Patent No. 5,984,400 to Miller et al. However, claims 1, 12 and 23 each recite a body adapted to engage the top of the wall, the body having a concave surface adapted to receive a reel. In Swanson et al. '856, the ledge 46 is "attached on side 15" of the trailer See column 5, line 64. In

The remaining claims depend from one of claims 1, 12 and 23. This application is now believed to be in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney to discuss any remaining matters.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: AUGUST 13, 2004

Timothy K. Searfoss

CARDINAL LAW GROUP **Suite 2000**

1603 Orrington Avenue Evanston, Illinois 60201 Phone: (847) 905-7111

Fax: (847) 905-7113

Frank C. Nicholas Registration No 33,983 Attorney for Applicant